| | | J | JLBC Analyst: Jake Corey | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| | FY 2002 | FY 2003 | FY 2004 | | |
| | Actual | Estimate | Approved | | |
| | | | | | |
| OPERATING BUDGET | | | | | |
| Full Time Equivalent Positions | 13.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Personal Services | 544,900 | 140,100 | 0 | | |
| Employee Related Expenditures | 97,900 | 27,700 | 0 | | |
| Professional and Outside Services | 29,500 | 26,200 | 0 | | |
| Travel - In State | 32,400 | 8,500 | 0 | | |
| Travel - Out of State | 1,300 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Other Operating Expenditures | 153,300 | 21,300 | 0 | | |
| Equipment | 25,500 | 2,000 | 0 | | |
| OPERATING SUBTOTAL | 884,800 | 225,800 | 0 | | |
| SPECIAL LINE ITEMS | | | | | |
| Arizona Transfer Articulation Support System | 224,000 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Operating State Aid | 224,000 | V | Ü | | |
| Cochise | 5,976,700 | 5,540,500 | 5,540,500 | | |
| Coconino | 3,082,300 | 2,905,500 | 2,905,500 | | |
| Graham | 5,504,400 | 5,252,400 | 5,252,400 | | |
| Maricopa | 47,249,000 | 46,613,700 | 46,613,700 | | |
| Mohave | 3,916,200 | 3,630,300 | 3,630,300 | | |
| Navajo | 4,192,800 | 4,210,300 | 4,210,300 | | |
| Pima | 19,325,100 | 18,125,700 | 18,125,700 | | |
| Pinal | 5,934,400 | 5,659,100 | 5,659,100 | | |
| Yavapai | 4,895,200 | 4,589,200 | 4,589,200 | | |
| Yuma/La Paz | 5,433,200 | 5,222,600 | 5,222,600 | | |
| Subtotal - Operating State Aid | 105,509,300 | 101,749,300 | 101,749,300 | | |
| Capital Outlay State Aid | 100,500,500 | 101,719,500 | 101,715,500 | | |
| Cochise | 743,400 | 681,800 | 681,800 | | |
| Coconino | 336,800 | 319,300 | 319,300 | | |
| Graham | 638,800 | 616,700 | 616,700 | | |
| Maricopa | 8,581,300 | 8,309,800 | 8,309,800 | | |
| Mohave | 499,400 | 440,400 | 440,400 | | |
| Navajo | 439,300 | 466,500 | 466,500 | | |
| Pima | 2,850,900 | 2,654,800 | 2,654,800 | | |
| Pinal | 682,900 | 658,800 | 658,800 | | |
| Yavapai | 605,900 | 567,400 | 567,400 | | |
| Yuma/La Paz | 741,900 | 718,600 | 718,600 | | |
| Subtotal - Capital Outlay State Aid | 16,120,600 | 15,434,100 | 15,434,100 | | |
| Equalization Aid | -, -, | -, - , | .,., | | |
| Cochise | 2,113,500 | 2,006,500 | 2,208,300 | | |
| Graham | 7,655,900 | 6,612,900 | 7,273,300 | | |
| Navajo | 1,270,300 | 1,289,800 | 1,441,300 | | |
| Pinal | 96,000 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Yuma/La Paz | 0 | 250,800 | 202,400 | | |
| Subtotal - Equalization Aid | 11,135,700 | 10,160,000 | 11,125,300 | | |
| Rural County Reimbursement | 3,538,700 | 0 | 0 | | |
| AGENCY TOTAL | 137,413,100 | 127,569,200 | 128,308,700 1/2/ | | |
| - | , , | , , | - / | | |

All community college districts shall provide articulation information to students for classes that transfer for credit to an Arizona public university, including references to advisement, counseling and appropriate Web sites, in all catalogues, course schedules and Internet course guides. (General Appropriation Act footnote)

^{2/} General Appropriation Act funds are appropriated as district-by-district Special Line Items.

| | FY 2002 Actual | FY 2003 Estimate | FY 2004 Approved | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|
| FUND SOURCES | | | | |
| General Fund | 137,240,100 | 127,569,200 | 128,308,700 | |
| Other Appropriated Funds | | | | |
| Community College Certification Fund | 173,000 | 0 | 0 | |
| SUBTOTAL - Other Appropriated Funds | 173,000 | 0 | 0 | |
| AGENCY TOTAL | 137,413,100 | 127,569,200 | 128,308,700 | |

AGENCY DESCRIPTION — The Arizona community college system is comprised of 10 college districts. Arizona's community colleges provide programs and training in the arts, sciences and humanities, and vocational education leading to an Associates degree, Certificate of Completion, or transfer to a Baccalaureate degree-granting college or university.

| | FY 2002 | FY 2002 | FY 2003 | FY 2004 |
|---|---------------|---------|---------------|---------------|
| PERFORMANCE MEASURES | Appropriation | Actual | Appropriation | Appropriation |
| • % of upper-division students at universities who transfer from an Arizona Community College with 12 | 4.0 | | | 40 |
| or more credits | 40 | 48 | 40 | 48 |
| % of students who transfer to Arizona public universities without loss of credits | 85 | NA | 95 | 96 |
| No. of applied Baccalaureate programs | | | | |
| collaboratively developed with universities | 10 | 6 | 12 | 8 |
| • % of community college campuses that offer 2-way interactive TV courses | 85 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| % of students completing vocational education programs who enter jobs related to training | 88 | NA | 90 | |

Comments: The community colleges did not submit information for any measure labeled as "NA."

Operating Budget — The approved amount includes a General Fund decrease of \$(225,800) below FY 2003 due to the elimination of the State Board of Directors for Community Colleges. The Education Omnibus Reconciliation Bill (ORB) (Laws 2003, Chapter 264) eliminates the State Board. The responsibilities of the State Board will be assigned to other governmental bodies. (See Additional Legislation for more information.)

Special Line Items

Arizona Transfer Articulation Support System (ATASS) — Effective July 1, 2002, this Special Line Item was transferred to the Arizona Board of Regents pursuant to Laws 2002, Chapter 327, Section 65. ATASS funding supports a joint initiative of the community colleges and universities to facilitate better course transfer articulation and to develop a shared statewide student and financial information database for enhancing reporting and accountability. The approved appropriation is funded from the General Fund.

Operating State Aid — These Special Line Items provide each community college district with funds for continuing operating and maintenance expenses pursuant to A.R.S. § 15-1466. The approved appropriations are funded from the General Fund.

The approved amount includes \$101,749,300 in FY 2004. This amount is unchanged from FY 2003. (The Operating State Aid formula would have required a FY 2004 increase of \$5,053,100 above FY 2003. The Education ORB, however, notwithstands the formula for FY 2004 and provides that the amount for Operating State Aid will be specified in the General Appropriation Act.)

The Operating State Aid formula adjusts state aid in an amount that reflects only growth in the full-time student equivalent (FTSE) enrollment count. This enrollment adjustment is calculated by multiplying the increase in the most recent year's actual FTSE for each district by the average state aid per FTSE appropriated in the current fiscal year. (For FY 2004, the last actual data was from FY 2002.) The formula "holds harmless" districts with declining FTSE enrollment as the formula *does not* adjust state aid downward for these districts. Audited enrollment is 102,573 FTSE and grew by 4,985 FTSE, or 5.1%.

Capital Outlay State Aid — These Special Line Items provide the community college districts with funds for capital land, building, and equipment needs pursuant to A.R.S. § 15-1464. The approved appropriation is funded from the General Fund.

The approved amount includes \$15,434,100 in FY 2004. This amount is unchanged from FY 2003. (The Capital Outlay State Aid formula would have required a FY 2004 increase of \$2,165,900 above FY 2003. The Education ORB, however, notwithstands the formula for FY 2004 and provides that the amount for Capital Outlay State Aid will be specified in the General Appropriation Act.)

The Capital Outlay State Aid formula provides per capita funding to districts based on the district's size and the most recent year's actual audited FTSE. The statutory formula multiplies \$210/FTSE for districts with 5,000 or less FTSE or \$160/FTSE for districts with greater than 5,000 FTSE by the district's actual audited FTSE.

Equalization Aid — These Special Line Items provide additional state aid to qualifying community college districts whose tax base is insufficient to provide adequate funding for continuing operations and maintenance pursuant to A.R.S. § 15-1468. The approved appropriation is funded from the General Fund.

The approved amount includes a collective FY 2004 increase of \$965,300 above FY 2003. (The Equalization Aid formula would have required a FY 2004 increase of \$3,404,500 above FY 2003. The Education ORB, however, notwithstands the formula for FY 2004 and provides that the amount for Equalization Aid will be specified in the General Appropriation Act.) The approved amount includes:

- Distributing \$1,013,700 on a pro-rata basis to the 3 districts who would qualify for an increase in statutory funding above FY 2003 (Cochise, Graham, and Navajo).
- Decreasing aid for Yuma/La Paz by \$(48,400) per the statutory funding formula.

Equalization Aid is paid to community college districts with property tax bases that are less than the minimum assessed value specified in A.R.S. § 15-1402. Under the Equalization Aid formula, the minimum assessed valuation is increased by the average growth in actual assessed valuation for the 2 most recent years for all rural districts with populations of less than 500,000 persons.

Equalization Aid is paid out on the basis of the difference between minimum assessed valuation and the most recent year's actual assessed valuation for the district. Equalization Aid is calculated at the lesser of \$1.37 per \$100 of the district's assessed valuation or the district's levy rate.

Rural County Reimbursement — This Special Line Item reimburses community college districts for students enrolled from counties that are not a part of an established community college district. The appropriation is funded from the General Fund. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 15-1469.01,

the FY 2002 actual expenditure of \$3,538,700 is offset by a corresponding reduction in the county's sales tax apportionment. The payments made on behalf of the counties are not included in county expenditure limits established in the Arizona Constitution. The FY 2003 and FY 2004 payments will appear in future Appropriations Reports.

Additional Legislation: Education; Omnibus Budget Reconciliation; 2003-2004 (Chapter 264) — The bill makes the following changes:

- As session law, Section 44 requires Legislative Council to prepare conforming legislation pertaining to the elimination of the State Board and establishes a legislative study committee to direct preparation of the legislation.
- Section 7 amends A.R.S. § 15-1469 to transfer responsibility for determining the community college rural county reimbursement from the State Board to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee.
- Section 8 amends A.R.S. § 15-1483 to require community college districts to seek the Joint Committee on Capital Review's review for all projects funded with bond proceeds, not just the projects funded with bonds requiring voter approval.